UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/511,154      | 03/11/2005                         | Tatsuo Akai          | 0020-5309PUS1       | 9169             |
|                 | 7590 09/02/200<br>ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PO BOX 747      | CH MA 22040 0747                   | HWU, JUNE            |                     |                  |
| FALLS CHURG     | CH, VA 22040-0747                  | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|                 |                                    | 1661                 |                     |                  |
|                 |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|                 |                                    |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                 |                                    |                      | 09/02/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/511,154      | AKAI ET AL.  |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| JUNE HWU        | 1661         |  |  |

|  | JUNE HWU   | 1661   |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>31 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL   | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.   |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>').   | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FII       | n.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                     |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original for replacements or reply original for replacements or re | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and a c | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);  | ΓE below);   |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   | 16 and 41.33(a)).  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   | ·  | •  | -  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | I be entered and an e                                      | kplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3,5-7,17,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1,2,9-15 and 21-2 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | <u>4</u> .   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fail:                                  | s to provide a                           |
| 10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>   | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |  |
|  | /Anne R. Kubelik/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 1638   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues: The term "independently" in claim 3, lines 3, 5 and 8; claim 7, line 3; claim 19, line 3; and claim 20, line 3 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "independently" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear if each microporous body contains a single seed that is independent from several seeds within the microporous body or if there is a single seed within the surface of the microporous body.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 103 rejection over Akai in view of Harrison: Applicants urge that Akai cites two microporous bodies and that a plant seed cannot be independently germinated and grown on a surface of the microporous body. This argument is not found persuasive because the amended claims cite that a seed is independently germinating on the surface of the microporous body. Akai teach that seeds may be placed on surface of the microporous bodies wherein the seeds in independently germinating.

Applicants urge that the phrase "may be installed" does not mean "may be removed". This argument is not found persuasive because "may be installed" does mean that it may or may not be removed.